

The Blackdragon Dating System: Free Ebook Vol. Three

**Why Long Term
Monogamy No
Longer Works In
The Modern Era**

Blackdragon

**Why Expecting Monogamy To Last Longer Than
About Three Years Is A Delusional And Silly Idea**

Why Long Term Monogamy No Longer Works In The Modern Era

Revision 2.5 / June 2012

If you find any spelling errors in this book, that's great. I put them there on purpose so you can enjoy looking for them, like finding Waldo. If you do find any, send me an email at theonlyblackdragon@gmail.com and let me know, so I can be impressed with you. 😊

Published By
DCS Inc.
19363 Willamette Drive, Suite 119
West Linn, OR 97036

For more free ebooks on dating, women, sex, and relationships, go to www.blackdragonsystem.com.
We add new ones all the time!

Introduction

This is a chapter from my ebook, [How To Create And Maintain Open Relationships With Women](#), which is found at www.haveopenrelationships.com. It's a book that takes you step-by-step on how to take any woman you care about and create an open relationship with her that lasts a long time. If you haven't gotten a copy yet, I suggest you do. The systems in there have been real-world tested over and over again and they work marvelously.

This particular excerpt is a thesis, full of facts and statistics, all of which you are free to verify on your own if you find them disturbing or don't believe me. I have received numerous, numerous requests to publish this information as a separate document that can be circulated to others freely. I have been loathe to do this, but finally I relented. So many guys (and gals!) have requested I provide this document freely so they can give it to friends who are about to be married, or about to move in with someone, or about to make some massive financial decision for their "soul mate". So here it is, for you to distribute freely to whomever you want (as long as you don't modify it). Here in this document is everything in one place on why *long-term* monogamy doesn't work (and as you'll see, never really has).

If you're familiar with my other writings, you already know that I'm not against love, or commitment, or building a life together, or having children, or any of the other things people (foolishly) attach to this needy, insecurity-ridden thing called "monogamy". I'm a huge fan of all of those things. What *is* a bad idea is entering into long-term arrangements with anyone on the assumption and expectation that beyond about three years that person will A) still be with you, and/or B) still be monogamous to *only* you.

I have not edited this chapter in any way after taking it from the book. It's a copy and paste deal. So realize it will do things like refer to other chapters. Also, there is some harsh four-letter language in here, so I'm warning you about it now.

Two clarifications before we begin. One. Since this is a chapter excerpted from a book specifically written for *men*, this thesis is targeted toward men, thus the language and examples are such. That doesn't mean women can't find value from this, because they can, and many have. I've actually been surprised at the number of *women* who agree with what is written here. (That's a good sign.)

Two. If you've never read any of my other my stuff you're going to encounter some terms, abbreviations and acronyms you won't be familiar with. These terms are not critical to understand this particular thesis, and I'm not going to take the time to explain them all here (you're getting this information for free, so what are you complaining about?). If you're really interested in learning more about how to bring women into your life and keep them there, go to www.blackdragonsystem.com, read the stuff there, and download some ebooks. You'll be glad you did. (You'll also find the latest version of this document there; I update all of my ebooks on a regular basis.)

If you have any questions or comments on anything you read here, you're welcome to email me at theonlyblackdragon@gmail.com. (I do eventually get to all email I receive, but I'm a busy guy, so don't expect a fast response.)

Let's get started!

Marriage and Other Forms of Long-Term Monogamy

This is probably the toughest chapter in this book. You're about to read some things that are going to upset you and rock your world. Prepare yourself.

Up until now, we've talked about what to do in the short term and medium term, and that is to establish multiple FBs and/or WDs, most of which will cycle through multiple soft nexts and LSNFTEs. During this you may have asked "What about love? What about something long-term? What about kids, marriage, things like that?"

This book is like a video game. Every level gets more difficult. We're now entering the part where we talk about long-term relationships, "girlfriend-like" relationships, and even marriage.

But before what we talk about what TO do, we need to talk about what NOT to do, and why NOT to do it. Otherwise, many of the particulars of the how-to are not going to make sense to you. I'm also breaking my typical writing formula of short chapters here...this is a long one, as is the one following.

Let me rattle off some names. Tiger Woods. Bill Clinton. Donald Trump. Michael Jordan. James Cameron. John F. Kennedy. Prince Charles. Morgan Freeman. Rudy Giuliani. David Beckham. Hugh Grant. Jude Law. John Edwards. Ethan Hawke. Kobe Bryant. Mark Sanford. Alex Rodriguez. David Letterman. Elliott Spitzer.

Those are all guys who have cheated. Lest you think I'm being tough on men, here are some women who have cheated:

LeAnne Rimes. Meg Ryan. Valerie Bertinelle. Claire Danes. Julia Roberts. Elizabeth Taylor. Whoopi Goldberg. Madonna. Tori Spelling. Jessica Simpson. Anne Heche. Gabrielle Union. Ingrid Bergman. Denise Richards. Debra Lafave. Princess Diana. Kate Hudson.

Of course, I could go on. I could fill the next several pages with names of men and women I know who have cheated and so could you.

Think about all the people you know, or have ever known, who have cheated on their spouses, fiancés, girlfriends or boyfriends. Really think about it. It's a lot, isn't it?

Now, think about all the people you know, or know of, or have ever known, who have been divorced. Oh my. So many names your head is ready to explode, isn't it?

Now think about anyone you know who has had more than two or three boyfriends or girlfriends in their life. Wow! That's almost *everyone you know*, isn't it?

So ask yourself this question: If long-term monogamy works so well, why doesn't anyone actually DO it?

This chapter answers that question.

What follows is my explanation of why long-term monogamy (including traditional marriage) doesn't work. This conclusion is based on facts, statistics, observations, my own extensive research, my own experiences, and the experiences of literally hundreds of people I have known, dated, worked with, or communicated with, over the course of my life. I will include all of those items here, as well as the responses to common objections people bring up when I postulate these things.

First, we must define a few things so we're on the same page.

Monogamy, as defined in here, means you promise the one woman you are with to not have any sexual contact with any other people, and she promises the same to you. And it means both of you actually follow through on that promise. I.E. neither of you ever have any physical sexual contact with any other people. *If you're in a relationship where one of you is cheating, it's not a monogamous relationship, regardless of what you both call it or what was originally promised.*

Therefore, a relationship or marriage where one person is cheating, even if in secret, is NOT monogamy. Monogamy could include flirting with other people, but not actually touching them in a sexual manner.

I am also talking about *long-term* monogamy. I define long-term as longer than three years. Monogamy for shorter than three years is short-term monogamy, or *serial* monogamy, one brief monogamous relationship after the next. Just like we talked about way back in chapter one, serial monogamy is fine for people who are needy or enjoy drama. But monogamy longer than three years is when we get into the zone where monogamy simply doesn't work *at all*, regardless of what your needs are.

I am also talking about the countries in the western world, such as the United States, most of Europe, Australia, and similar countries. I am not talking about countries or cultures outside of the western world. A traditional marriage in one country is very different than a traditional marriage in another and I do fully acknowledge that long-term monogamy and/or marriage does work in some other countries outside of the western world. India, for example, has a 1% divorce rate, but I'm not talking about India. If you

live in the U.S. or Europe, India's divorce statistics are completely irrelevant.

I am also talking about *this current era*. I define "this era" as anything after 1990. I am NOT talking about anyone who got married in the 1960's, for example. Only people married or long-term monogamous after 1990. I am certainly talking about people getting married or long-term monogamous now or in the future. I fully acknowledge that that long-term marriage (somewhat) "worked" in other eras, where the law and social stigmas were quite different than today. But even then, the monogamous nature of these marriages was questionable. More on this in a minute.

When talking about human beings, there are always odd exceptions to every rule. Whenever I postulate a fact, survey, statistic, or observation, there are always going to be odd exceptions. "Well, I knew this one couple once where..." Yes, there are always odd exceptions to every rule. But the exceptions *prove* the rule. There is indeed a small percentage of people (about 13%) who do have a decent shot at making long-term monogamy work and I'll describe them in a minute. But you're going to be surprised when you find out how *unlike* those people you are.

I also want to reiterate that while I'm about to make arguments against monogamy and TMM (traditional monogamous marriage), I am not against *open* marriages. Open marriages are not monogamous, so those are fine. It's not marriage I'm against per se, it's the *expectations behind marriage*, such as monogamy, conformity, and communal property. Next chapter, we're going to discuss exactly how to get married "right", if you must get married.

Now, here are the reasons long-term monogamy doesn't work, in the western world, in this era.

Our Biology Was Never Designed For Monogamy

Human beings were never biologically designed to be monogamous for long stretches. Less than 7% of the animals on planet Earth are actually designed to be lifetime monogamous, and not only are humans not in that 7%, they aren't even close. Even human's biological relatives, primates, are no where near lifetime monogamous.

This includes both men AND women, but in different ways. I shall describe each.

Women are designed to be short-term monogamous, but not long-term monogamous. As every man who's had a relationship with a woman knows, women eventually get BORED. Sometimes this happens within three months, sometimes it doesn't happen for seven years (the famous "seven year itch"). But whenever it happens, it's normal. Women are *biologically wired* to get bored with the man they are with within two to three years, sometimes much sooner. This boredom factor is accelerated the more time she spends with the man. All other things being equal, a woman is going to get bored with a man faster if she lives with him than if she only sees him once a week. This explains why things like soft nexts and our "once a week" rule are so effective at keeping women attracted to us.

Women are designed to be selective in choosing their mates, dating back to the caveman era. Humans have, more or less, the same brains and bodies of cavemen 200,000 years ago. Our technology has evolved, our bodies not so much. Back in the caveman days, women had to be sure to pick a man who would stick around and survive long enough to raise her children. So today, in the presence of certain men, a women's brain will actually produce hormones that will excite her attraction.

The problem is over time, *this hormone production begins to wane*. Over time, the woman will actually, physically be less attracted to the same man. On top of this, she will also emotionally get bored with him at the same time. Society is full of married women who say “I love my husband, but I’m so BORED with him!”. This is a prime factor in the fact that 82% of all divorce is initiated by the woman (more on this statistic in a minute).

On average, a woman’s sex drive for her man will begin to wane at the two year mark, especially if she lives with him, and it will continue to decrease over time.

Would you like some real-world proof of this? Try this experiment:

1. Take five married men from your life that you personally know. They can be of any age, personality, or background. The only requirement is that they must have been married for at least *four* years.
2. Take each one out for drinks, individually, just you and him, far away from his wife and any other male or female friends. Just get enough drinks in him to get him relaxed and truthful, not drunk.
3. Ask him "How often do you and your wife have sex?"
4. Listen very carefully to his answer. Also listen to *how* he answers.

Alternatively, you could just ask him “How happy are you in your marriage?” and listen to *that* answer. Prepare to be enlightened.

This two to three-year boredom period also helps explain why the vast majority of people who talk about that “great

relationship they had once”. Ask some questions, and you’ll find it’s always a relationship that lasted less than three years.

Realize that this is her *biology*. You’re behavior as her man does not change her biology. Giving her great sex, making a lot of money, taking good care of her, being honest, being an amazing man/husband/father, none of these things changes her biology.

Now let’s talk about men, where the situation gets even worse. While women are designed for some level of monogamy (short-term only), men aren’t designed for monogamy *at all*. Quite the opposite in fact, men are designed to fuck as many women as they can, to spread their seed around and ensure propagation of the species.

If a man is monogamous with a woman even for a few months, he is actually violating every biological urge he’s designed to have. It’s hard for men to be monogamous, no matter how moral or mature they might be. As a man, I’m sure you already know this. (And deep down, women know this too.)

Bottom line, being monogamous AT ALL for a man, or LONG-TERM for a woman, is a direct violation of our core bodily, physiological, and psychological functions.

Common Objection: “We’re not animals! We’re more than just biological urges and chemicals! We have intellects and souls and can overcome these things!”

Answer: Correct, but working to overcome your basic biological urges is extraordinarily difficult. If you’ve ever been on a diet, or have tried to overcome an addiction to smoking, drugs, alcohol, food, coffee, or whatever, than you know exactly how difficult it is to overcome the body’s

basic biological urges. And this is what you are doing every day in a long-term monogamous arrangement. Remember, even if you have been married for 15 years, if you or your spouse has affair just one time, you're no longer in a monogamous marriage.

Emotions Have Time Limits, And Love Is An Emotion

Romantic love has a time limit. According to studies, romantic love generally lasts anywhere from six months until about four years on the outside. Much research has been done on this in psychology circles. Once two monogamous people marry or move in together, their romantic love slowly starts to either wane, or to transform into a type of family love. Her husband is no longer the attractive hot guy who totally turns her on. He becomes more like a family member. This has been compared to “a family member you fuck”.

Talk to any married couple who have been married a very long time, as in 30 years or more, about their marriage. They will always relay two things:

1. They are in love, but not romantically in love. It's much more of a familial type of love.
2. They went through huge stretches in their marriage where they weren't in love AT ALL, but “stuck it out” because of one reason or another (the kids, their religion, what other people would say, etc).

In this era, when people (especially women, but sometimes men too) sense the romantic love waning, they get the feeling that “something's wrong” and that they are “with the wrong person”, and then a divorce or affair ensues. “Sticking it out” is not something most people do anymore.

Common Objection: “Marriage isn’t just about romance and love. It’s about a commitment you make to one another. Just because the romantic fire wanes, doesn’t mean two people can’t overcome that and stay together.”

Answer: This is true, but men need regular sex, regardless of whether or not his GF or wife is in a romantic mood. It is very difficult for a woman to sexually put out often if she’s not romantically attracted to her man. This means reduced sex, which in turn means heightened chances for a divorce, breakup, or cheating.

Marriage Has An 87% Failure Rate

The overall failure rate of marriage is 87%. This is an approximate aggregate of many statistics, all of which are listed further below. “Failure” is defined as one of the three things occurring in a marriage:

1. A divorce.

or

2. Cheating.

or

3. Both the husband and wife are miserable with each other but “put up” with each other for various reasons. (These could be expectations from society, or work, or family members, or religion, or some other external reason. Many of you have grandparents who fall into this category.)

If you get married, there is an 87% chance you will either get divorced, experience an affair, or experience an awful marriage that never ends.

Let's cover each of these undesirable possibilities.

The Divorce Rate Is Very High

The national divorce rate is about 50%. This is an overall average. In most major cities, it is closer to 62%. So if you live in a city of a million or more people, odds are the divorce rate you're looking at is around **62%**, not the 50% that is commonly quoted.

Also, what is commonly called the "divorce rate" is just a comparison between the total number of marriages and divorces in a given year and given geographic area. When you actually look at statistics on the odds of YOU getting a divorce, the picture usually looks much worse.

For example, the divorce rate for people living in a city who are under the age of 25 in most areas of the U.S. is 91%. **NINETY ONE PERCENT!** I could spend the next several pages relaying similar depressing divorce statistics, but you get the picture.

Common Objection: "You don't understand. **I'm** different than all those other guys. **I** can make it work."

Answer: This is probably the single biggest objection to the reality of marriage not working. Notice how that objection contains no facts, logic, rationality, or thought. It's just an emotional hope. The answer to the objection is this: You can control your own actions, but you cannot control the actions of the person you marry. Nor can you stop her from changing her mind or eventually getting bored (which, again, is a biological phenomenon, not one created by external stimuli). There *is* a classification of people who can make TMM work, but the odds are overwhelming you're not in that category. We'll get to that in a minute.

Common Objection: “That divorce rate applies to guys with bad taste who don’t know who to pick a quality woman. I will pick a woman who will make a great wife and mother.”

Answer: Having a long-lasting great marriage based on picking a “great woman” *assumes that women don’t ever change*. It assumes that five or ten years from now she won’t start acting like almost every other woman in western society starts acting once married. The woman you marry today is not the same woman you will be married to years down the road. Again, do the “ask five married guys” experiment above, and you’ll see what I mean. Do you think they were bored, arguing often, and going without sex when they were dating or engaged?

Common Objection: “Look, often people need a marriage to figure out themselves and to get it right. Lots of people are young, immature, or just plain stupid when they first get married. So they get divorced, learn more about themselves, and then get a second marriage, picking the right person this time, then things finally click and work.”

Answer: Wrong. *The divorce rate for second marriages is even worse than the divorce rate for first marriages*. The divorce rate for first marriages, as I’ve said, is 50%. The divorce rate for second marriages is 68%! That’s right, it’s even worse. Why? Because on the second time around, people are even more set in their ways, and often there are stepchildren to contend with. People don’t realize that “stepchildren” is the second most commonly stated cause for divorce.

Also, think about it. If long-term monogamy “worked” everyone would still be married to the second or third person they dated way back in their late teens or early twenties. Instead, most people have had numerous relationships that

didn't work out. If long-term monogamy "worked", why is this the case?

It's not "people figuring themselves out" that is the problem. It's the expectation of long-term monogamy that is the problem. Deep down, humans aren't designed for it, don't want it, and never have.

Women Divorce Men Far More Than Men Divorce Women

As if you thought the stats couldn't get any more dismal, ready for a statistic that's worse than all the rest we've discussed so far?

82% percent of all divorces are initiated by the woman.

Woman-initiated divorce is between 73% and 94% based on varying demographics, coming to an average of 82%. The sources for this statistic are: National Center for Health Statistics, American Law and Economics Review, Divorce Source Research Center in Atlanta, Georgia, and many, many others. If you just can't believe it, feel free to Google this and do your own verification. It's true.

Men only initiate 18% of divorces, women initiate the other 82% Why?

1. Biologically, women eventually get bored with the same man (as we discussed above).
2. In a divorce, women get free money in the form of alimony, child support, and communal property.

3. In a divorce, women end up with the kids 91% percent of the time, and thus rarely has to worry about “losing the kids” during a divorce. This also means she receives child support as well. That’s on top of alimony (or “spousal support”). Whereas men don’t usually receive the kids, child support, or alimony. (Only 9% of men receive child support, only 3.3% of divorced men receive alimony).
4. Lastly, in this era, women actually get “big props” from their female friends and family members for “finally divorcing that guy”. Whereas in days gone by, women (and men) were ostracized by society for getting divorced.

So not only do women biologically and emotionally get bored, they are actually *rewarded*, financially and societally, for divorcing their husbands.

So saying “I’m a real man who honors marriage, I won’t divorce my wife, ever!” only takes into account YOU. It doesn’t take into account HER. *She’s far more likely to divorce you than you are to divorce her.*

But it gets even worse. The smarter a woman is, and/or the more educated she is, *the more likely she is to divorce you.* The 82% of woman-initiated divorces climbs up to 91% and higher if the woman is college-educated and/or has an above-average IQ. (Same sources as above...again, look it up for yourself if you don’t believe me.)

Smart women are what most men look for when looking for a wife! They don’t realize they are actually increasing the chances of getting a wife who will eventually divorce them! We just can’t win, can we guys?

Actually we can...wait until we talk about the OLTR in the next chapter.

Divorces Are Not Joint Decisions

According to surveys, approximately 76% of all divorces are unilateral decisions. This means one person wants to get a divorce, and the other person doesn't. Now again, these are surveys, not actual stats on what happens, but it does give you a good idea.

Mix that 76% with the 82% likelihood the woman is initiating the divorce, and what do you get most of the time? The woman is divorcing the man, and the man wants her to stay. Think through most of the divorces you've seen personally throughout your life, as well as most of the boyfriend/girlfriend breakups you've seen...and you'll realize that's usually how it goes. Girl leaves man, man wants girl to stay. No, not 100% of the time, but usually.

Think about all of these facts and stats next time the woman you're dating demands you to marry her.

Most People, Men And Women Both, Eventually Cheat

We've talked about divorce, now lets talk about cheating and infidelity. Remember, if a marriage or a relationship includes someone who's cheating, it's not a monogamous relationship.

Marital infidelity rates are between 46% and 77%, depending on the individual study, the country studied, and the area of the country analyzed. There are numerous sources for this; if you're interested I suggest you Google it and do your own research. Cheating in non-married relationships are even higher than these stats, as I'm sure you've seen over and over again with people you've known.

If monogamy “worked”, the infidelity rate would be quite low, somewhere around 5% or 10%, not anything near 50% and certainly not 77%.

Also, in 1950’s marriages that are often used as examples of “marriage working”, infidelity, primarily on the part of the man, was quite common. Next time your grandpa is drunk, ask him a few questions about it and watch in awe as he starts bragging about all the pussy he got back in the 1950’s when he was married to grandma, living the perfect picket-fence fifties lifestyle.

Common Objection (usually by women): “Men cheat more than women do!”

Answer: This was likely true decades ago, but in this era, every study done on infidelity shows that men and women cheat on their partners about equally. The sexual revolution of the 1960’s and feminism of the 1970’s changed women’s opinions on dutiful monogamy. The only difference in gender-specific cheating stats is that men generally cheat sooner, and women generally cheat later. (Why? Because men were never designed to be monogamous, and women get bored. The stats mesh perfectly with how human beings are designed, of course.)

Never Getting Divorced Usually Does Not Mean A Happy Marriage

Let’s talk about the third type of marital failure: the miserable marriage that people stay in for the good of...whatever (“the kids”, “the money”, “our faith”, whatever).

I have noticed, and you may have noticed, that many old people who have been married 30, 40, 50 years or more are

not quite as happy as people paint them to be. When you observe them carefully, many of them are quite miserable. They don't like their spouse, they don't like their married life. But because of other reasons, they just grit their teeth and stay together until the bitter end.

There are no hard statistics on this as far as I know (though I could be wrong), but most psychologists and marriage experts state that as high as *three fourths* of very long-term marriages fall in this category.

Long-Term Marriages That Work Are Master/Slave Relationships, Not 50-50 Relationships

When men say “My Grandpa was a tough guy and he’s been married for 40 years and he’s happy with his marriage!” or when women blissfully say “I want a marriage like my grandparents have! They’ve been married 40 years!”, what both of these people don’t realize is that usually, in this kind of marriage, grandpa is the BOSS. He says jump, and grandma jumps. It is NOT the “50/50 partnership” marriage of “two equals” that people desire nowadays. Rather it is a marriage with a BOSS and a SUBORDINATE (and sometimes, grandma is the boss and grandpa is the slave...plenty of those marriages exist too).

In this era, most people (especially women) want a “50/50” marriage. People nowadays are not willing to surrender themselves to serfdom just to maintain a 40-year marriage. People are just too picky and independent now.

Monogamy Was Artificially Invented To More Easily Control The Masses

Why do we even have monogamy in the first place? Not for the reasons you think. Time for a quick history lesson.

Monogamy was not invented because it's was compatible with, or even desirable for, the human condition. It was also not invented because the masses wanted it. Instead, it was invented for the following reasons:

1. Thousands of years ago in Babylonian times, it was first invented to by wealthy lords and sultans to keep other men out of their harems. These wealthy men could, of course, fuck all the women they wanted, but their women were not allowed to fuck other men. (Refer back to men's territorialness we talked about in chapter four.) These sultans made it a criminal offense for other men to fuck one of *their* wives.
2. This then evolved into true "monogamy" several hundred years later, when smarter beta males discovered that they were losing all the hot chicks to the alpha males. Up until then, in the days of the Roman Empire, if a married husband wanted to have sex with a prostitute or one of his slaves, this was completely fine with his wife, and often even encouraged by her if she was tired, not in the mood, or whatever. Using religious dogma, the smarter beta males (specifically the Catholic church during the decline of the Roman Empire) declared it "morally wrong" to have sex with more than one person. The reason they did this was to "lock up" a desirable woman to them on a permanent basis. Unlike the sultans of old, these betas could only get one woman instead of many, but one was good enough for them.
3. Monogamy then spread even further out into society. The reason? Governments found it easier to control their vast populations if their citizens submitted to this new concept of "lifetime monogamous marriage", so government reinforced this concept through laws, taxation, schooling, etc.

As you can see, monogamy was invented by elite men to lock up their women and to more easily control society. It was not invented because it was good for us, and certainly not because men or women at large wanted it or asked for it.

What About What Women Want?

Women reluctantly went along with this new system because although they had no freedom and were treated like property, the “monogamy” system did at least provide them with security, which women crave.

Women put up with this slave-like status up until about 2000 years later, in the 1960’s. During this time, American women finally had enough and revolted. The result was the sexual revolution of the 1960’s, and feminism of the 1970’s. Once feminism fully took hold of the female zeitgeist, women decided it was “okay” to have sex outside of marriage, and have sex with whomever they felt like, even if it was with multiple men. As an added bonus for men, for the first time since the Roman Empire, men no longer had to promise a woman marriage to have sex. It was a pretty good deal for men and women both. Women were finally free, and men were getting laid.

Even better, true feminists of the 1970’s did not demand exclusivity from the men they were with, even if they married them. If a feminist’s FB, boyfriend, or husband wanted to have sex with some other woman, that was fine. It was precisely the “ownership mentality” of monogamy that feminists were rebelling against in the first place. These women truly were liberated.

This all worked fine until the 1980’s. Due to a (false) AIDS scare, and due to the sudden resurgence of conservative traditional “family values” during the Reagan era, women

started to slowly revert back to their old 1950's-style monogamy models...sort of. 1950's monogamous values started to mesh in women's heads along with 1970's "I am woman hear me roar" feminism.

Which brings us to the modern woman of the 21st century western world. This strange creature has picked the two or three aspects of the 1950's she likes and ignores the rest. She has also picked the two or three aspects of 1970's feminism she likes, and ignores the rest. It ends up being very convenient for women, and here's how...

In the 1950's and early 1960's, a wife would have sex with her husband whenever her husband demanded it of her, even if she was not attracted to him, or not in the mood for sex, or mad at him. Also, as I described above, many married men were quietly polyamorous, having discreet affairs that were tolerated by wives and shoved under the rug (JFK was the ultimate example of this).

Under this system of marriage, the divorce rate in the 1950's and early 1960's was approximately 13% (divorce rates were calculated very differently back then, so this is general approximation).

In the 1970's when feminism and "free love" was the rage, it was the opposite. A wife would not "put out" whenever her BF/husband wanted, but she often *would* let her BF/husband go have sex with another woman if she wasn't in the mood. Under this system of marriage, the divorce rate moved from 13% to approximately 28%. This was worse than the 50's, but still incredibly good compared to today. In the "open relationships era" of the 1970's, more than two-thirds of marriages *still worked*.

But here's how *today's* women have synthesized all of this. Today, generally speaking, a married woman will *not* have

sex whenever asked, *and* will *not* let her husband have sex outside the marriage. She has, conveniently, combined the forced monogamy of the 1950's with the "don't tell me what to do!" of the 1970's. And now, the divorce rate in most cities is around 62%. Most marriages no longer work. And 82% of the time *she* is the one doing the divorcing.

And *that* is the system you as a man are signing up for if you get married or long-term monogamous. Sound fun yet?

Women Will Demand Monogamy Even If They Don't Want To Get Serious With You

I have noticed that women today expect monogamy even if she acknowledges the relationship isn't serious! Many men today have experienced the following: A woman you're dating says "Now look, I don't have time for anything serious in my life right now, I'm too busy with my (career, college, kids, etc) to do that. I want to date you, but I don't want to get serious! So we AREN'T getting serious and you're NOT allowed to start falling in love with me! Oh, and by the way, you can't fuck other women."

Good girls of the 1950's and badass feminists of the 1970's never asked for a relationship like that. It was "serious and monogamous", or "not serious but open".

Common Objection: "That's because in the 1970's people didn't have to worry about STD's! You can't just fuck all the people you want when you're married or serious with someone nowadays! You'll get a disease!"

Answer: As I said all the way back in chapter one, having an open relationship or marriage doesn't mean your spouse/partner is out having sex with ten or twenty other people all the time. As we've discussed already, non-monogamy could be as few and as infrequent as just one

other person on the side every once and a while. Also, if a condom is used correctly, and used 100% of the time, and a man avoids having sex with the wild crazy chicks, the chances of getting an STD is very low. (We'll get into detail about this in chapter thirteen.)

Follow-up Objection: "Oh, come on! I can't trust my partner to always use a condom every time if they have sex with someone else!"

Answer: You mean you're in a marriage or serious relationship with a person you can't trust? Oh, really? Then who's the real idiot here? *Lack of trust* is your problem, not monogamy.

Monogamy Creates Drama

This is another one of those things everyone knows, but no one really wants to talk about. A relationship that is expected to be monogamous automatically creates drama between the two participants. Ever notice that when you're dating someone, but haven't had the big "exclusivity talk" yet, everything is wonderful? The time you spend with her is fantastic, 100% of the time. You never argue. She's perfect! It's like being in heaven.

Then you have the "exclusivity talk" and become "exclusive". Then, suddenly, without warning almost, the drama starts. The nitpicking, the arguing, the jealousy, the demands, the territorialness...all that crap.

Is this just some odd coincidence? Nope. It's because in an completely exclusive relationship, both parties think they "own" each other, and thus have the "right" to boss each other around, to ask about where the other person was the other night, what they were doing, who was that person they

were with, why didn't you call me the other day, why didn't you pick up the phone when I called, etc.

If instead you pursue non-monogamous relationship using the techniques in this book, this ownership dynamic doesn't exist, therefore the chances for drama are far reduced. I have numerous women in my life, and drama is something I almost never experience. And this is the primary reason why.

We talked about how dangerous rules can be in relationships back in chapter nine. Monogamy is a system where two people *force* each other to adhere to each other's rules. Violation of said rules leads to arguments and hurt feelings at best, the end of the relationship at worst. Since human beings are individuals, and since no two people are the same, people are going to have differences, and thus rules **WILL** be violated at some point. Then drama ensues.

When you are not monogamous, there are little or no rules, and therefore far, far less drama.

Common Objection: "If a man gets monogamous but remains an alpha male, you can eliminate most of that drama you're talking about."

Answer: You can eliminate some of the drama, but not most of it. The *instant* you promise a woman that you will not be sexual with any other woman beside her, she owns your balls, no matter how much of a tough guy you are. You can only eliminate all or most of the drama if the relationship is non-monogamous.

Sex Declines In Long-Term Monogamous Relationships

This is yet another example of something everyone knows but seem to magically forget on their wedding day or the day

they move in together. Generally speaking, women's sex drives decline over time in a long-term relationship or marriage. Men's sex drives, generally speaking, don't.

Five years into a monogamous live-in relationship or marriage, he will still want to have sex four times a week. She won't.

This is a major problem, for obvious reasons. And we've already talked about why this happens (see above about "women getting bored").

Common Objection: "Not ALL women are like that! Some women have high sex drives forever!"

Answer: True, there is a small percentage of women who will still want sex all the time even after years in the relationship or marriage. The problem is *all* women say they're in this category, even though the vast majority are not. And here's the critical point: You don't know which category she's in when you marry her. You don't find out which category she's in until you're *well into the marriage and it's too late..*

Women Would Never Tolerate Current Marriage And Divorce Law If The Roles Were Reversed

Just to show how stupid *men* are, women would never tolerate the current system of monogamy, marriage, and divorce if the roles were reversed.

If you really want to have some fun, try this sometime. Tell a woman the following story.

"A guy and girl are dating and super-duper serious. The guy comes to the woman one day with a piece of paper and says 'Darling, I love you so much. Will you sign this legally

binding contract that states that if I ever break up with you, you will pay me \$400 a month for the next five years, and if you don't you'll go to prison?' What do you think that woman would say? *Of course* she would refuse, and she'd be *correct* in doing so. Not only that, she'd probably be insulted, wouldn't she? What if the guy then said "Oh my God! I can't believe you! You are so horrible! Don't you love me? Don't you want to be with me? Don't you value this relationship?"

Telling this story really demonstrates the silliness of women expecting men to marry them under the traditional system. *They* would never agree to a system like that. Why should *we*?

After all the years I've been doing this, all the research I've done regarding this, and after everything I've experienced with so many women, I am 100% convinced that if women made more money than men, and had to pay men child support and alimony when the man left the marriage, things like alimony and child support would be abolished almost immediately. Women would simply not tolerate it. They would be out marching in the streets in front of their state capitals. They'd leave work early to vote for the proper politicians. It would get done...alimony, child support, communal property, no-fault divorce...all of that would be gone.

But sadly, men at large in society are either so dumb, so scared, or so locked into tradition, they tolerate it, and pay the price.

Being Unmarried and Non-Monogamous Brings All The Same Benefits As Being Married

In the modern era, there is literally nothing a reasonably confident man can get from marriage he cannot get by being unmarried. Literally nothing. This includes:

- Engagement rings
- Wedding rings
- A wedding
- Changing her last name to his
- Living together
- Referring to each other as “husband” and “wife”
- Children
- Shared medical insurance
- Life insurance
- Joint ownership of assets
- Joint retirement

Everything in the above list can be had *without* getting married and *without* getting monogamous.

Take a minute, and try to think of anything a man can *only* receive if he’s legally married. Go ahead. I’ll wait. You’ll be surprised...you can’t. Thus, a man incurs massive risk for zero reward.

Of course women *do* receive something from a marriage a man doesn’t: free money if the relationship doesn’t work out. Marriage today is nothing more than relationship-insurance for the female.

Common Objection: “There is one thing a man doesn’t get unless he’s married, and that’s a commitment.”

Answer: If this were the 1950's, that would be correct. But it's the 21st century. Marriage is no longer a commitment. We live in a society where either person can get divorced for any reason at any time, and get a big pat on the back from friends and family when they do it, and even get paid to do it if they're female. And based on the very high divorce rates and infidelity rates (as we discussed above), they *do* get divorced, quite often. Modern day marriage is no longer a commitment, and sadly hasn't been for several decades now.

What About Having Kids?

You might be thinking, "I agree with everything you've said about monogamy and marriage, but what about having kids? I want to have children someday. Two parents out having sex with everyone in town is no way to raise kids! Kids are best raised by a man and wife, committed to each other, and who live together."

First, let's talk about under what conditions you should have kids or not, in light of what we've discussed regarding long-term monogamy's failure rate.

In the modern era, most people have kids when they aren't ready for them, can't afford them, and/or deep down don't really even want them. Because of the law and of society's expectations today, a man should not have children unless he meets all of the following four criteria:

1. He fully understands the financial ramifications of having kids. This means hundreds of dollars a month out of his wallet for the next 20 years, even if there is a breakup or divorce with the mother (which as we've demonstrated is more likely than not), with horrible consequences if he ever gets late or doesn't pay, including the revoking of his driver's license,

penalties, late fees, interest, garnishments, and jail time.

2. He fully understands the fact that 20% to 60% of his freedom will vanish from his life, and stay gone, for the next 20 years or so. (Again, regardless if it ever “works out” with the mother of the child.)
3. He can afford children. That means he has regular income, and makes more money every month than he already needs to pay his own bills. He has carefully budgeted his income and expenses and has determined rationally and mathematically that he is able to financially support children.
4. Despite fully understanding the above three items, he is still 100% desirous to have children, and is going into the situation fully informed with both eyes open.

I personally did all four of the above things before I had my two children. I did the research, understood what the ramifications were, earned enough money, budgeted, and then I was ready. My children were not accidents that I “took responsibility for” or “tried to make work”. Both of my children were *planned events*.

Some idiot who has some girl he’s been seeing for two months come to him and say “Oops! I’m pregnant!” is not what I’m taking about here. Too many men make the “decision” to have children only after some chick they’ve been banging has already told them she’s pregnant. Realize that many men who say they are “choosing” or have “chosen” to have kids, really haven’t “chosen” at all. The woman chose, and they went along with it. (We’ll be covering how to prevent pregnancy in chapter thirteen, in detail.)

Once you have met all four of the criteria for having kids, you can structure a relationship with a woman and have kids, but in a way that is far superior than long-term monogamy or marriage. This is the OLTR, and we'll be discussing children in an OLTR in the next chapter.

Other Objections And Their Answers

Objection: "What about having someone care for you in your old age?"

Answer: As we've discussed, the divorce rate is around 62% in most major cities, which means getting married as a means of ensuring someone will be around in your old age is a pretty silly thing to do. The odds are high that the person you marry will be divorced from you well before you hit old age.

As a man, you have far more control over how much money you earn and save over your working lifetime than you have over whether some woman will still be with you when you're an old man.

Objection: "I want to be long-term monogamous because I don't want to worry about STD's."

Answer: In chapter thirteen we will discuss exactly how to have a non-monogamous lifestyle with very low risk of STDs. Also, remember how high the infidelity rate is. *Many* people have gotten STDs from their "monogamous" partners. Monogamy is by no means a panacea of STD protection.

Objection: "Not getting married and not having kids is selfish."

Answer: Why do you want to have kids? Because YOU want to have them. Why do you want to get married? Because YOU want to get married. That's *selfish*. Let's be real here. You don't want to get married or have kids because you want to help the world. No, you want to do these things for your own personal, *selfish*, reasons.

Which is completely fine. Just remember married people with kids are just as "selfish" as unmarried non-monogamous people. This "selfish" stuff is actually yet more societal programming in your head that you should reframe and remove as soon as possible.

Objection: "Having sex with multiple people is immature. Eventually you need to grow up."

Answer: That's half right, as well as an oversimplification. Having sex with multiple partners in a reckless fashion is certainly immature. Having sex with multiple partners in a methodical, adult way is not. For example, things like having rampant sex with hundreds of complete strangers is immature. And having sex with lots of people with no birth control or STD protection is immature. But I endorse none of these things. I endorse having sex with multiple partners after a certain amount of screening these potential partners, then using all appropriate STD and birth control protection, and not making any rash decisions regarding long-term relationships, commitment, children, or finances.

If someone has done all of that, and you *still* think monogamy or marriage is somehow more "mature", then this is a purely emotional argument, based on, you guessed it, more societal programming. No one has ever explained, logically and rationally, why having sex with two or more people is a sign of immaturity, or why having sex with only one person is a sign of maturity.

Objection: “I once had a monogamous relationship and it was amazing!”

Answer: And it lasted less than three years, didn't it? We're talking about *long-term* monogamy here, the kind that is expected to last way past three years. I have no major problem with *short-term* monogamy (as long as you know what it means; drama, rules, ups and downs, etc).

Objection: “Well, MY relationship/marriage isn't confining. I can do whatever I want.”

Answer: Really? Can you have sex with other people? Spend your money any way you want without having to check with someone else? Spend your day doing literally whatever you want, whenever you want it? If you're married or in a live-in monogamous relationship, the answer to all of these questions is likely no.

If you're in a long-term monogamous relationship you have a number of rules that you must follow from your GF/wife regarding your behavior whenever in the presence of the opposite sex. Including, but not limited to, hanging out with them, when and where to touch them, what topics you can bring up to them, how to dance with them, when/if/how you flirt with them, and on, and on, and on. If you're actually married, these rules also extend to your finances, your schedule, and other areas, no matter how much of a toughguy you are.

If you are monogamous, I don't care how you structure the monogamy, you are confined, and you are not free. But regarding sex, it gets even worse than that...

In a monogamous relationship/marriage, your MGF/wife has the ability to *remove* sex out of your life whenever they want. All they have to do is say “no”, and it's time to either

sit there with blue balls, or masturbate, or cheat on her behind her back...because you're not allowed to have sex with anyone else. This is one of the big things that throw men for a loop once they've been married for about two years. It's not that you can only have sex with one woman for the rest of your life (that alone *might* actually be acceptable), but it's the fact that she can remove sex from your life completely if she chooses to. And if you do anything she doesn't like, or she has a bad day at work, or whatever, she's likely to do just that, at least eventually. And the stats bear this out (not that you need stats, just look at some of your married guy-buddies).

Then Who Can Make Long-Term Monogamy Work?

An 87% failure rate does mean that 13% of the population can and does make long-term monogamy and TMM work. However, this 13% are made up of a very specific personality type. According to studies, people in this 13% are generally low-maintenance, low-sex drive people who live relatively boring lives, and who are attracted to members of the opposite sex who are themselves boring, low-maintenance, and have lower sex drives.

Does that sound like YOU? Do you have all four of those above qualities? No, I didn't think so. If you are reading this book right now, I highly doubt you are any of those qualities. You're probably a particular, higher-sex drive man (or woman) who enjoys excitement and knows exactly what you want. Which would indicate not only are you not in the 13%, *you're no where near it*. Nor are the people you are attracted to! Which is okay, since *most people aren't anywhere near that type either*.

People who enjoy excitement, who enjoy fun, who are picky, who enjoy sex, who value independence and having things the way they want them...this is **most** people in the

modern era, which explains why 87% of people can't make long-term monogamy work, and deep down *don't even want to*.

Deep down, most people who get married know in the backs of their minds they can always get divorced and try again if things don't work out. They aren't thinking they're locked into this marriage for the rest of their lives no matter what happens, which is exactly what they used to believe prior to the 1970's.

Deep down, people actually *want* the option of terminating their marriage or big relationship and *like having that option*. And this is okay.

Accept this about human beings and about yourself. Don't beat yourself up about it, and don't ignore it, and don't be delusional and assume you're part of the 13% when you know damn well you aren't.

All is not lost. Now we're getting to the really good part. In the next chapter, you'll learn exactly how to be with that one special person long-term. But in a way that actually works.